

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner has indicated that claims 3-9, 11 and 15-21 contain allowable subject matter. However, the Examiner has also objected to claims 15-16 due to certain informalities. As hereinafter described, applicant has amended the present application to re-write the claims containing allowable subject matter and to overcome the informalities in claims 15-16. As such, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections in view of the following comments.

Applicant has incorporated the subject matter of dependent claim 3 into independent claim 1. It is now believed that independent claim 1 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. Claims 2 and 4-5 depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 1 and further define a system for distributing first and second materials not shown or suggested in the art. It is believed that claims 2 and 4-5 are allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Applicant has rewritten dependent claim 6 as new independent claim 27. It is now believed that claim 27 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. Claims 7-9 depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 27 and further define a system for distributing first and second materials not shown or suggested in the art. It is believed that claims 7-9 are allowable depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Applicant has rewritten claim 11 as independent claim 28. It is now believed that claim 28 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Referring to claim 15, applicant has amended such claim to overcome the informality identified by the Examiner. It is now believed that claim 15 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. Claim 16 depends from claim 15 and further defines a system of distributing first and second materials not shown in the art. It is believed that claim 16 is allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.


Claim 17 has been rewritten in independent form as new claim 29. It is now believed that claim 29 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. Claims 18-19 depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 29 and further define a system for distributing first and second materials not shown or suggested in the art. It is believed that claims 18-19 are allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Finally, claim 20 has been rewritten in independent form as new claim 30. It is now believed that claim 30 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. Claim 21 depends from claim 20 and further defines a system for distributing first and second materials not shown or suggested in the art. It is believed that claim 21 is allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Applicant believes that the present application with claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9, 15-16, 18-19, 21 and 27-30 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The fee of \$950.00 for two additional independent claims and a two month extension of time is enclosed herewith. Nevertheless, should the Examiner consider any other fees to be payable in conjunction with this or any future communication, authorization is given to direct payment of such fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1170.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it would help expedite matters.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter C. Stomma  
Reg. No. 36,020

Docket No. 15313

Dated: July 28, 2006

BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ S.C.  
250 Plaza, Suite 1030  
250 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
Telephone: (414) 225-6306  
Facsimile: (414) 225-9753